	Case 2:22-cv-00564-KJM-KJN Docume	ent 4 Filed 06/22/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LAWRENCE E. GEORGE,	No. 2:22-cv-564-KJM-KJN PS
12	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>
13	V.	(ECF No. 3.)
14	TRANS SOHAL and RAJ FINAF,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On April 12, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No. 3),	
18	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings	
19	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. No objections were filed.	
20	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,	
21	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed	
22	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law	
23	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court	
24	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
25	supported by the record and by the proper analysis	
26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
27	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 3) are ADOPTED IN FULL;	
28	2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is DENIED AS MOOT;	

## 3. The action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; and 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case. DATED: June 21, 2022.

Case 2:22-cv-00564-KJM-KJN Document 4 Filed 06/22/22 Page 2 of 2